

# STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

## Lecture 11

## From last time

Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a DTMC on  $S$  with transition matrix  $P$ .

- $s \in S$  is recurrent if  $f_{s \rightarrow s} = 1$ , where  $f_{s \rightarrow s} = \mathbb{P}[\tau_{\{s\},s} < \infty]$ .
- We saw that  $s$  is recurrent if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[N(s) \mid X_0 = s] = \infty,$$

where  $N(s)$  is the number of visits to  $s$ .

- While proving that  $a$  recurrent and  $a \rightarrow b$  implies  $b \rightarrow a$ , we used that

$$\mathbb{P}[N(a) = \infty \mid X_0 = a] = 1.$$

Note that this is stronger than saying that  $\mathbb{E}[N(a) = \infty \mid X_0 = a] = \infty$ .

## From last time

- Why is this stronger statement true? It suffices to show that  $\mathbb{P}[N(a) < \infty | X_0 = a] = 0$ .
- By definition,  $\{N(a) < \infty\} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}} \{N(a) = n\}$ .
- We also know that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$

$$\mathbb{P}[N(a) = n | X_0 = a] = f_{a \rightarrow a}^n - f_{a \rightarrow a}^{n+1} = 0.$$

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[N(a) < \infty | X_0 = 0] &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}} \mathbb{P}[N(a) = n | X_0 = a] \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}} 0 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

## Exit distributions

- In the first lecture, we studied the Gambler's ruin: consider a gambler who bets on the outcome of fair coin tosses. What is the probability that she loses \$100 before winning \$200?
- We can study such questions more generally.
- For instance, generalizing our argument from Gambler's ruin shows the following.
- Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a DTMC on a finite state space  $S$ . Let  $a \neq b \in S$  and let  $C = S - \{a, b\}$ . Let  $V_a$  be the first time (including 0) that  $a$  is visited and similarly for  $V_b$ . Suppose that  $h(a) = 1$ ,  $h(b) = 0$  and for all  $x \in C$ ,

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in S} p_{x,y} h(y).$$

If there exists some  $N$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[\min\{V_a, V_b\} < N \mid X_0 = x] > 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , then

$$h(x) = \mathbb{P}[V_a < V_b \mid X_0 = x].$$

## Exit distributions

- Let  $T = \min\{V_a, V_b\}$ .
- Since  $\mathbb{P}[T < N \mid X_0 = x] > 0$  for all  $x \in C$ , the same argument as Problem 1 of HW1 shows that  $\mathbb{P}[T < \infty] = 1$ .
- The equation

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in S} p_{x,y} h(y) \quad \forall x \in C.$$

can be rewritten as

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}[h(X_1) \mid X_0 = x] \quad \forall x \in C.$$

- Iterating this, we have for all  $x \in C$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \mathbb{E}[h(X_T) \mid X_0 = x] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_T = a \mid X_0 = x] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[V_a < V_b \mid X_0 = x]. \end{aligned}$$

## Example

Consider the following crude model of opinion dynamics.

- There is a population of  $N$  individuals, each with one of two opinions:  $A$  or  $B$ .
- Initially,  $1 \leq x \leq N - 1$  individuals have opinion  $A$  and  $N - x$  individuals have opinion  $B$ .
- At each time step, the individuals update their opinion by sampling without replacement from the current opinions.
- This just means that if  $x$  people have opinion  $A$  today, then at the next time step, the probability that  $y$  people have opinion  $A$  is

$$p_{x,y} := \binom{N}{y} \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^y \left(\frac{N-x}{N}\right)^{N-y}.$$

- What is the probability that everyone in the population eventually holds opinion  $A$ ?

## Example

- Let  $X_n$  denote the number of people with opinion  $A$  at time  $n$ .
- Then,  $X_n$  is a DTMC.
- We are interested in finding  $\mathbb{P}[V_N < V_0 \mid X_0 = x]$ .
- By the theorem, it suffices to find a function  $h(x)$  with  $h(N) = 1$ ,  $h(0) = 0$  and for all  $1 \leq x \leq N - 1$ ,

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in S} p_{x,y} h(y).$$

- Since  $p_{x,y} = \mathbb{P}[\text{Binom}(N, x/N) = y]$ , you can check easily that  $h(x) = x/N$  is a valid choice.

## A more general view

- Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a DTMC on a finite state space  $S = \{1, \dots, N\}$  with transition matrix  $P$ .
- Suppose that all the recurrent states of  $S$  are absorbing.
- Without loss of generality, this means that there is some  $r < N$  such that states  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  are transient, states  $\{r + 1, \dots, N\}$  are recurrent, and  $P_{x,x} = 1$  for all  $x > r$ .
- Therefore, the transition matrix  $P$  decomposes as

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $Q$  is an  $r \times r$  matrix,  $R$  is an  $r \times (N - r)$  matrix, and  $I$  is the  $(N - r) \times (N - r)$  identity matrix.



## A more general view

- Let  $T$  be the first time that the chain reaches one of the absorbing states. We know that  $\mathbb{P}[T < \infty] = 1$ .
- Our goal is to understand, for all  $j > r$ ,

$$U_{i,j} = \mathbb{P}[X_T = j \mid X_0 = i].$$

- By definition, we must have  $U_{j,j} = 1$  and  $U_{i,j} = 0$  for all  $i > r$ ,  $i \neq j$ .
- On the other hand, for any  $i \leq r$ , we have by first step analysis that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i,j} &= P_{i,j} + \sum_{k \leq r} P_{i,k} U_{k,j} \\ &= R_{i,j} + \sum_{k \leq r} Q_{i,k} U_{k,j}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the same argument as before, a solution to these equations with the given boundary conditions gives  $\mathbb{P}[X_T = j \mid X_0 = i]$ .

## Biased Gambler's ruin

- Let us return to the problem of the Gambler's ruin, except now, the bets are biased.
- Concretely, the gambler starts with  $\$x$  and in each round, independently, wins  $\$1$  with probability  $p$  and loses  $\$1$  with probability  $q$ .
- She stops playing once she either reaches  $\$N$  or  $\$0$ .
- We want to compute

$$h(x) = \mathbb{P}[V_N < V_0 \mid X_0 = x].$$

- As before,  $h(N) = 1$ ,  $h(0) = 0$  and for  $1 \leq x \leq N - 1$ ,

$$h(x) = ph(x + 1) + qh(x - 1).$$

- Check that this is satisfied by

$$h(x) = \frac{\theta^x - 1}{\theta^N - 1}, \quad \theta = \frac{q}{p}.$$

## Biased Gambler's ruin

- As an example, imagine that you are betting \$1 on each round of roulette, where there are 18 red, 18 black, and 2 green holes.
- In this case  $p = 18/38$ .
- So, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[V_{100} < V_{50} \mid X_0 = 50] &= \frac{(20/18)^{50} - 1}{(20/18)^{100} - 1} \\ &= 0.005128,\end{aligned}$$

which is almost 100 times less likely than when  $p = 19/38$ .