# STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

Lecture 28

#### Last time: stopped martingales are martingales

Recall that a stopping time with respect to X<sub>0</sub>, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,... is a random variable τ taking values in {0, 1, 2, ... } ∪ {∞} if for all 0 ≤ n, the event {τ ≤ n} is determined by X<sub>0</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub> i.e.,

$$\mathbb{1}_{\tau\leq n}=f_n(X_0,\ldots,X_n).$$

- Let  $M_0, M_1, \ldots$  be a martingale with respect to  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  and let  $\tau$  be a stopping time with respect to  $X_0 = M_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots$ . Then, the **stopped process**  $M_{\min(0,\tau)}, M_{\min(1,\tau)}, \ldots$  is also a martingale with respect to  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ .
- This is called the **optional stopping theorem**.

- Suppose a fair coin is tossed repeatedly. Let τ be the first time to get the pattern HTH. What is E[τ]?
- On Homework 5, you showed that  $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = 10$  using first step analysis. Now, we'll see a quicker way to do this using the optional stopping theorem.
- For this, imagine a table at a casino where a fair coin is tossed at every time step.
- At every time step, a *new* gambler joins the table and buys a chip for \$1.
- Initially, the gambler bets \$1 on the coin landing heads. If the gambler loses, she leaves with nothing. If she wins, she now has \$2 which she bets on the coin landing tails. If she loses, she leaves with nothing. If she wins, she now has \$4, which she bets on the coin landing heads. If she loses, she leaves with nothing. If she wins, she leaves with nothing. If she wins, she leaves with \$4.

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  denote the outcomes of the coin tosses.
- Let M<sub>0</sub> = 0 and M<sub>n</sub> denote the money the casino has after X<sub>n</sub> has been revealed. Note that M<sub>n</sub> = f(X<sub>1</sub>,...,X<sub>n</sub>).
- Moreover, since  $X_n$  is a fair coin,  $\mathbb{E}[M_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] = M_{n-1}$  so that  $M_n$  is a martingale.
- Let  $\tau$  denote the first time that the pattern *HTH* is observed at the table. Then,  $\tau$  is a stopping time with respect to  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  so that by the optional stopping theorem,  $\widetilde{M}_n = M_{\min(n,\tau)}$  is a martingale as well.

• Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\min(n,\tau)}] = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = 0.$$

 $\bullet~$  Using  $\mathbb{E}[\tau]<\infty,$  we are able to take (and switch) limits to deduce that

 $\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau}]=0.$ 

- Let's compute  $\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau}]$  in a different way. After  $X_{\tau}$  has been revealed, the casino has lost \$1 to the gambler who entered at time  $\tau$  and 1 + 2 + 3 to the gambler who entered at time  $\tau 2$ . On the other hand, the casino made \$1 each from the gamblers who entered at times  $1, \ldots, \tau 3$  and  $\tau 1$ .
- Therefore,  $0 = \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau}] = (\mathbb{E}[\tau] 2) 1 7$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = 10$ .

- Similarly, if  $\tau$  denotes the waiting time for *HHH*, then the casino loses \$1 to the gambler who enters at time  $\tau$ , \$3 to the gambler who enters at time  $\tau 1$ , and \$7 to the gambler who enters at time  $\tau 2$ .
- Moreover, the casino makes \$1 from the first au-3 gamblers.
- Therefore, the same argument gives

$$(\mathbb{E}[\tau] - 3) - 1 - 3 - 7 = 0$$

so that  $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = 14$ .

The martingale convergence theorem asserts that if  $M_n \ge 0$  is a martingale, then there exists a random variable  $M_\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n o\infty}M_n=M_\infty$$
 (in an almost sure sense)

and

 $\mathbb{E}[M_{\infty}] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_0].$ 

# Martingale convergence theorem

- Note that the condition  $M_n \ge 0$  cannot be dropped altogether (although it can be weakened). For instance, the simple, symmetric random walk on the integers is a martingale which does not converge to any  $M_{\infty}$ .
- Also, even if  $M_n \ge 0$  is a martingale, it is not necessarily true that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\infty}] = \mathbb{E}[M_0].$$

• For example, consider the simple, symmetric random walk on the integers starting at 1, and let  $\tau$  be the first time that the walk visits 0. Then, the stopped martingale  $\widetilde{M}_n = M_{\min(n,\tau)}$  satisfies  $\widetilde{M}_n \ge 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{M}_n = 0$ .

## Example: branching processes

Let (Z<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥0</sub> be a branching process with Z<sub>0</sub> = 1 and offspring distribution ξ.
Recall this means that

$$Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} \xi_i,$$

where  $\xi_i$  are i.i.d. copies of  $\xi$ .

• Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\xi] > 0$ . We saw that

$$M_n = Z_n/\mu^n$$

is a martingale.

## Example: branching processes

• Since  $M_n$  is a non-negative martingale, there exists a random variable  $M_\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}M_n=M_\infty$$

and  $\mathbb{E}[M_{\infty}] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_0] = 1$ .

- When  $\mu = 1$ , then  $Z_n = M_n$  is a martingale. In this case, we saw that the probability of extinction is 1 (provided that  $\mathbb{P}[\xi = 1] < 1$ ). Here's a martingale proof of this fact.
- Since  $Z_n$  is integer-valued and  $Z_n \to M_\infty$ , it must be the case that  $M_\infty$  is also integer-valued.
- We claim  $\mathbb{P}[M_{\infty} > 0] = 0$ . Otherwise, there would exist some  $k \ge 1$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[M_{\infty} = k] > 0$  and hence  $\mathbb{P}[\exists N : Z_n = k \quad \forall n \ge N] > 0$ .
- But the last event has probability 0 if  $\mathbb{P}[\xi = 1] < 1$ .

## Martingale convergence theorem

• Here's some intuition for the martingale convergence theorem. While  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n$  need not exist, we can always talk about

$$Y := \liminf_{n \to \infty} M_n, \quad Z := \limsup_{n \to \infty} M_n.$$

• If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n$  does not exist in an almost sure sense, then we must have  $\mathbb{P}(Y < Z) > 0$ , and hence, there must exist real numbers 0 < a < b such that

$$\mathbb{P}[Y < a < b < Z] > 0.$$

- For this to happen, it must be the case that  $M_n$  crosses from below *a* to above *b* infinitely many times with positive probability.
- To bound this probability, suppose that M<sub>0</sub> ≤ a and let τ be the first time that the martingale crosses b.

#### Martingale convergence theorem

• Since  $\widetilde{M}_n = M_{\min(n,\tau)}$  is also a martingale, we have for all  $n \ge 0$  that  $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{M}_n] = \mathbb{E}[\widetilde{M}_0] \le a.$ 

• Note that if 
$$\tau \leq n$$
, then  $\widetilde{M}_n = M_\tau \geq b$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{M}_n] \geq b\mathbb{P}[\tau \leq n].$ 

• Hence, we get that

 $\mathbb{P}[\tau \leq n] \leq a/b,$ 

and now we can take the limit on the left hand side to see that

$$\mathbb{P}[ au < \infty] \le a/b.$$

• Therefore, the probability of having k crossings is  $\leq (a/b)^k$ , and now we can take the limit  $k \to \infty$ .