STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

Lecture 5

The Poisson Point Process

Chapter 2 of EDSP.

We now begin our study of the **Poisson Point Process (PPP)** which is widely used as a (simplified) model for events such as

- time of occurrence of earthquakes,
- time of occurrence of accidents,
- starting time of telephone calls,
- time at which a new customer joins a queue at a bank,
- and many more...

The PPP will also play a crucial role in our discussion of continuous time Markov chains later in the course.

Let λ > 0. X is said to be exponentially distributed with rate λ, which we will denote by X ~ Exp(λ) if

*
$$\operatorname{CDF}_{\operatorname{const}}$$
 $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ $\forall x \geq 0.$

• Equivalently, letting $f_X(x)$ denote the pdf (probability density function) of X,



Let λ > 0. X is said to be exponentially distributed with rate λ, which we will denote by X ~ Exp(λ) if

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

• Equivalently, letting $f_X(x)$ denote the pdf (probability density function) of X,

• Observe that $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) \sim \widetilde{\operatorname{Exp}(1)/\lambda}$, for $x \ge 0$, • $f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & \text{for } x \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0. \end{cases}$ • h as the same distribution.

Let λ > 0. X is said to be exponentially distributed with rate λ, which we will denote by X ~ Exp(λ) if

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

• Equivalently, letting $f_X(x)$ denote the pdf (probability density function) of X,

$$f_X(x) = egin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & ext{ for } x \geq 0, \ 0 & ext{ for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

• Observe that $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) \sim \operatorname{Exp}(1)/\lambda$. Indeed, for all $x \ge 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(1)/\lambda \le x) = \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(1) \le \lambda x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} = \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) \le x)$.

Let λ > 0. X is said to be exponentially distributed with rate λ, which we will denote by X ~ Exp(λ) if

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

• Equivalently, letting $f_X(x)$ denote the pdf (probability density function) of X,

$$f_X(x) = egin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & ext{ for } x \geq 0, \ 0 & ext{ for } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Observe that $\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) \sim \operatorname{Exp}(1)/\lambda$. Indeed, for all $x \ge 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(1)/\lambda \le x) = \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(1) \le \lambda x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} = \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) \le x)$.
- Using direct computation, one can check that for $X \sim \mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^n] = n!/\lambda^n, \qquad X \sim \mathbb{E} \times \mathcal{P}(I)$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\chi^n] = \mathcal{P}[X^n]$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)] = \lambda^{-1} \quad \mathsf{Var}[\mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)] = \lambda^{-2}.$$

٦

Memorylessness of exponential distribution

Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Then, for any $t, s \ge 0$, $\mathbb{P}[X > t + s \mid X > t] = \mathbb{P}[X > s].$

In words, if "waiting time" is exponentially distributed, then the probability of waiting for *s* more units of time doesn't depend on how long we've already waited.

$$P[X>t+s|X>t] = P[X>t+s \land x \land t]$$

$$= exp(-\lambda(t+s))$$

$$= exp(-\lambda(t+s))$$

$$= exp(-\lambda(t+s))$$

$$= exp(-\lambda(t))$$

Memorylessness of exponential distribution

Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Then, for any $t, s \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X > t + s \mid X > t] = \mathbb{P}[X > s].$$

In words, if "waiting time" is exponentially distributed, then the probability of waiting for *s* more units of time doesn't depend on how long we've already waited. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}[X>t+s\mid X>t]=rac{\mathbb{P}[X>t+s]}{\mathbb{P}[X>t]}=rac{e^{-\lambda(t+s)}}{e^{-\lambda s}}=e^{-\lambda s}=\mathbb{P}[X>s].$$

Memorylessness of exponential distribution

Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Then, for any $t, s \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X > t + s \mid X > t] = \mathbb{P}[X > s].$$

In words, if "waiting time" is exponentially distributed, then the probability of waiting for *s* more units of time doesn't depend on how long we've already waited. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}[X>t+s\mid X>t]=rac{\mathbb{P}[X>t+s]}{\mathbb{P}[X>t]}=rac{e^{-\lambda(t+s)}}{e^{-\lambda s}}=e^{-\lambda s}=\mathbb{P}[X>s].$$

• In fact, the exponential distribution is the unique continuous memoryless distribution. $f(+) := \Re [x > t]$

memorylessness =
$$f(t+s) = f(s) \in f(t+s)$$

 $f(t) = f(t)f(s)$

Memorylessness of exponential distribution $f(2) = f(1)^2$ $f(m) = f(1)^m$

Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Then, for any $t, s \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X > t + s \mid X > t] = \mathbb{P}[X > s].$$

In words, if "waiting time" is exponentially distributed, then the probability of waiting for *s* more units of time doesn't depend on how long we've already waited. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}[X > t+s \mid X > t] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[X > t+s]}{\mathbb{P}[X > t]} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+s)}}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{-\lambda s} = \mathbb{P}[X > s].$$

- In fact, the exponential distribution is the **unique continuous memoryless distribution**.
- The only discrete memoryless distribution is the geometric distribution.

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid Exp(1). What is the pdf of $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$?

look at the minimum

later we will

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid Exp(1). What is the pdf of $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$? • n = 1: $f_{X_1}(x) = e^{-x}$.

Let
$$X_1, ..., X_n$$
 be iid $Exp(1)$. What is the pdf of $X_1 + \dots + X_n$?
• $n = 1$: $f_{X_1}(x) = e^{-x}$.
• $n = 2$:
 $f_{X_1+X_2}(x) = \int f_{X_1}(y) f_{X_2}(x-y) dy = \int_0^x e^{-y} e^{-(x-y)} dy = xe^{-x}$.
(on hintons analog of
 $Q (X_1 + X_2 = x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y}{2} (X_1 = y) \frac{p}{2} (X_2 = x-y)$.

•

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid Exp(1). What is the pdf of $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$? • n = 1: $f_{X_1}(x) = e^{-x}$. • n = 2:

$$f_{X_1+X_2}(x) = \int f_{X_1}(y) f_{X_2}(x-y) dy = \int_0^x e^{-y} e^{-(x-y)} dy = x e^{-x}.$$

• n = 3: $\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 = (\chi_1 + \chi_2) + \chi_3$

$$f_{X_1+X_2+X_3}(x) = \int f_{X_1+X_2}(y) f_{X_3}(x-y) dy = \int_0^x y e^{-x} dy = \frac{x^2}{2} e^{-x}.$$

•

Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be iid Exp(1). What is the pdf of $X_1 + \dots + X_n$? • n = 1: $f_{X_1}(x) = e^{-x}$. • n = 2:

$$f_{X_1+X_2}(x) = \int f_{X_1}(y) f_{X_2}(x-y) dy = \int_0^x e^{-y} e^{-(x-y)} dy = x e^{-x}.$$

$$f_{X_1+X_2+X_3}(x) = \int f_{X_1+X_2}(y) f_{X_3}(x-y) dy = \int_0^x y e^{-x} dy = \frac{x^2}{2} e^{-x}.$$

• Similarly, by induction,

$$f_{X_1+\dots+X_n}(x) = e^{-x} \cdot \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

• Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid Exp(1). Then, $f_{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}(x) = e^{-x} \cdot x^{n-1}/(n-1)!$.

- Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be iid Exp(1). Then, $f_{X_1+...+X_n}(x) = e^{-x} \cdot x^{n-1}/(n-1)!$.
- What about X_1, \ldots, X_n iid $Exp(\lambda)$?

- Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be iid Exp(1). Then, $f_{X_1+\dots+X_n}(x) = e^{-x} \cdot x^{n-1}/(n-1)!$.
- What about X_1, \ldots, X_n iid $Exp(\lambda)$? $X_1 \sim Y_1 / Y_1 \sim E \times p^{(1)}$

• Using
$$f_{S/\lambda}(x) = \lambda f_S(\lambda x)$$
, $X_1 + \dots + X_m \sim \underbrace{Y_1 + \dots + Y_m}_{\lambda}$

- Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid Exp(1). Then, $f_{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}(x) = e^{-x} \cdot x^{n-1}/(n-1)!$.
- What about X_1, \ldots, X_n iid $Exp(\lambda)$?
- Using $f_{S/\lambda}(x) = \lambda f_S(\lambda x)$, we get

$$f_{X_1+\cdots+X_n}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \cdot \frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!},$$

which is the pdf of the Gamma (n, λ) distribution.

$$\chi_{N} \in \mathbb{E} \times p(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n).$$

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

• What can we say about the distribution of X?

- What can we say about the distribution of X?
- $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$.

- What can we say about the distribution of X?
- $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$. Indeed, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge t] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \ge t, \dots, X_n \ge t] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[X_i \ge t]$$

- What can we say about the distribution of X?
- $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$. Indeed, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge t] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \ge t, \dots, X_n \ge t] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[X_i \ge t]$$

 $= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i t} = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)t}$

- What can we say about the distribution of X?
- $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$. Indeed, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge t] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \ge t, \dots, X_n \ge t] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[X_i \ge t]$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i t} = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)t}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) \ge t].$$

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

- What can we say about the distribution of X?
- $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$. Indeed, for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \ge t] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \ge t, \dots, X_n \ge t] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[X_i \ge t]$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i t} = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)t}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n) \ge t].$$

• On the homework, you will explore $\max(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

• What is the probability that $X = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = X_1$?

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

• What is the probability that $X = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1$?

•
$$n = 2$$
:

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2)] = \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge X_1] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge x] \overline{f_{X_1}(x)} dx$$

$$e^{-\lambda_2 x} \qquad \text{analog of}$$

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} [\chi_1 = x]$$

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

• What is the probability that $X = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1$?

ø

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{1} = \min(X_{1}, X_{2})] = \mathbb{P}[X_{2} \ge X_{1}] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_{2} \ge x] f_{X_{1}}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{2}x} \cdot \lambda_{1} e^{-\lambda_{1}x} dx = \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}}.$$
general m, $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} + \cdots + \lambda_{m}}$ = min $(X_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})$

$$\lim_{\substack{k \in k \text{ the case } m = 2, \\ k \in k = k = k}} \mathbb{P}[X_{2} \ge x] f_{X_{1}}(x) dx$$

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

- What is the probability that $X = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1$?
- *n* = 2:

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2)] = \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge X_1] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge x] f_{X_1}(x) dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2 x} \cdot \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}.$$

• For general *n*, use $X = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \min(X_1, \min(X_2, \ldots, X_n))$ and $\min(X_2, \ldots, X_n) \sim \exp(\lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_n)$ be independent. Let $X := \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

- What is the probability that $X = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = X_1$?
- *n* = 2:

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2)] = \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge X_1] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[X_2 \ge x] f_{X_1}(x) dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2 x} \cdot \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}.$$

• For general *n*, use $X = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \min(X_1, \min(X_2, \ldots, X_n))$ and $\min(X_2, \ldots, X_n) \sim \exp(\lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$ to get

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n}$$

 Let μ > 0. X is said to have Poisson distribution with parameter μ, which we will denote by X ~ Pois(μ) if

$$\mathbb{P}[X=j] = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

 Let μ > 0. X is said to have Poisson distribution with parameter μ, which we will denote by X ~ Pois(μ) if

$$\mathbb{P}[X=j] = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

 $\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Pois}(\mu) = j] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j]. \quad \text{Mas fixed},$ $\prod_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{P}[\operatorname{Red} \quad \operatorname{Soy} 1].$ Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution: wis fixed, n is large, 1 is fixed $\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{Bin}\left(r, \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \int^{7} = \binom{n}{i} \binom{\mu}{i} \binom{\mu}{i} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-1}$ e-1 1 = P[[lois(u)=j]. ∩ – ∞ — – →

 Let μ > 0. X is said to have Poisson distribution with parameter μ, which we will denote by X ~ Pois(μ) if

$$\mathbb{P}[X=j] = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu) = j] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j].$$

• Why? For any fixed $j = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Bin}(n,\mu/n)=j] = \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{j} \left(1-\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-j}$$

 Let μ > 0. X is said to have Poisson distribution with parameter μ, which we will denote by X ~ Pois(μ) if

$$\mathbb{P}[X=j] = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu) = j] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j].$$

• Why? For any fixed
$$j = 0, 1, ...,$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j] = {\binom{n}{j}} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{j} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-j} \quad (n-j) = n(n-1) \cdot (n-j+1)$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j] = {\binom{n}{j}} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{j} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-j} \quad (n-j) \cdot (n-j) \cdot$$

 Let μ > 0. X is said to have Poisson distribution with parameter μ, which we will denote by X ~ Pois(μ) if

$$\mathbb{P}[X=j] = e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!} \quad \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu) = j] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n) = j].$$

• Why? For any fixed $j = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{Bin}(n,\mu/n)=j] = \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^j \left(1-\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-j}$$
$$= \left(\left(1-\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^n \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!}\right) \cdot \frac{n!}{n^j \cdot (n-j)!} \cdot \left(1-\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{-j}$$
$$\to e^{-\mu} \cdot \frac{\mu^j}{j!}.$$

 $1 \in [Bin(n, p)]$ Using this intuition, we see that = np • $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$ * Var (Pois (μ)) $\stackrel{?}{=}$ lim Var (Bin ($n_{\mu}\mu_{\mu}$)) $= \ln \mathcal{M} \left(\operatorname{Ber}(\mathcal{M}) \right)$ $= \ln \mathcal{M} \left(1 - \mathcal{M} \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}.$

Using this intuition, we see that

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$
- $\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Pois}(\mu)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \frac{\mu}{n} \left(1 \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \mu.$

Using this intuition, we see that

• $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$ • $\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Pois}(\mu)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \frac{\mu}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \mu.$ • For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\mu)$ $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ber}_{i}(\mu/n)}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\operatorname{Ber}(\mu/n)}]^{n}$

Using this intuition, we see that

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$
- $Var(Pois(\mu)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Var(Bin(n, \mu/n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \frac{\mu}{n} \left(1 \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \mu.$
- For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ber}_{i}(\mu/n)}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\operatorname{Ber}(\mu/n)}]^{n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{n}(e^{t} - 1)\right)^{n}$$

Using this intuition, we see that

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$
- $Var(Pois(\mu)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Var(Bin(n, \mu/n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \frac{\mu}{n} \left(1 \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \mu.$

• For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ber}_{i}(\mu/n)}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\operatorname{Ber}(\mu/n)}]^{n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{n}(e^{t} - 1)\right)^{n}$$
$$= e^{\mu(e^{t} - 1)}.$$

Using this intuition, we see that

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Pois}(\mu)] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathsf{Bin}(n, \mu/n)] = \mu.$
- $\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Pois}(\mu)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Bin}(n, \mu/n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \frac{\mu}{n} \left(1 \frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \mu.$
- For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ber}_{i}(\mu/n)}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\operatorname{Ber}(\mu/n)}]^{n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{n}(e^{t} - 1)\right)^{n}$$
$$= e^{\mu(e^{t} - 1)}.$$

• As practice, you should prove these results directly using the pdf of the Poisson distribution.

Sum of independent Poisson random variables

Let $X_1 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_k \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_k)$ be independent. * you can check formally. • How is $X_1 + \cdots + X_k$ distributed? $P_{0i}(\lambda_{1}) \approx Bin(n, \frac{\lambda_{1}}{n}) \sim Ber(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{n}) + \dots + Ber(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{n})$ nindicopiles Poi(A,) -- + Poi(A x) Ber(A) +---+ Brr(AE) ? + ---+ times. P [Y=1] = ORder 1/2 $\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma=2\right]: \text{ order } \frac{1}{n_{11/15}^{2}}$ Lecture 5 STATS 217

Sum of independent Poisson random variables n^{k}

Let $X_1 \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda_1), \ldots, X_k \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda_k)$ be independent.

- How is $X_1 + \cdots + X_k$ distributed?
- From the connection between the Poisson distribution and Binomial limits, it is clear that

$$X_{1} + \dots + X_{k} \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\lambda_{1} + \dots + \lambda_{k}).$$

$$Y = \operatorname{Bar}(\lambda_{1}) + \dots + \operatorname{Bar}(\lambda_{k}) \qquad Y \approx \operatorname{Bar}(\lambda_{1} + \dots + \lambda_{k}).$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y = 1\right] \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{n} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{k}}{n}\right)$$

Sum of independent Poisson random variables

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & \\ & \\ & & \\$$

 From the connection between the Poisson distribution and Binomial limits, it is clear that

$$X_1 + \cdots + X_k \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k).$$

• Exercise: Show this directly using the pdf of the Poisson distribution.

• How is X_1

• Let W_1, W_2, \ldots be independent Exp(1) random variables. Let $W_0 = 0$.



- Let W_1, W_2, \ldots be independent Exp(1) random variables. Let $W_0 = 0$.
- You should think of W_i as **waiting times** i.e. W_1 is the time you wait before the first event happens, W_2 is the time you wait between the first and second event, and so on.

- Let W_1, W_2, \ldots be independent Exp(1) random variables. Let $W_0 = 0$.
- You should think of W_i as **waiting times** i.e. W_1 is the time you wait before the first event happens, W_2 is the time you wait between the first and second event, and so on.
- For $t \ge 0$, let

$$N(t) = \max\{i: W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}.$$

- Let W_1, W_2, \ldots be independent Exp(1) random variables. Let $W_0 = 0$.
- You should think of W_i as **waiting times** i.e. W_1 is the time you wait before the first event happens, W_2 is the time you wait between the first and second event, and so on.
- For $t \ge 0$, let

$$N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}.$$

So, N(t) denotes the number of events that happen by time t.
In particular, N(0) = 0.

- Let W_1, W_2, \ldots be independent Exp(1) random variables. Let $W_0 = 0$.
- You should think of W_i as **waiting times** i.e. W_1 is the time you wait before the first event happens, W_2 is the time you wait between the first and second event, and so on.
- For $t \ge 0$, let

$$N(t) = \max\{i: W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}.$$

- So, N(t) denotes the number of events that happen by time t.
- In particular, N(0) = 0.
- It turns out that for all $t \ge 0$,

$$N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$$
.

• For all $t \ge 0$, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?

- For all $t \ge 0$, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?
- For any $j \ge 0$,

 $\mathbb{P}[N(t) = j] = \mathbb{P}[W_1 + \cdots + W_j \le t < W_1 + \cdots + W_j + W_{j+1}]$

• For all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?

$$\mathbb{P}[N(t)=j]=\mathbb{P}[W_1+\dots+W_j\leq t< W_1+\dots+W_j+W_{j+1}]\ =\int_0^t f_{W_1+\dots+W_j}(s)\mathbb{P}[W_{j+1}>t-s]ds$$

• For all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?

$$\mathbb{P}[N(t) = j] = \mathbb{P}[W_1 + \dots + W_j \le t < W_1 + \dots + W_j + W_{j+1}]$$

= $\int_0^t f_{W_1 + \dots + W_j}(s) \mathbb{P}[W_{j+1} > t - s] ds$
= $\int_0^t \left(e^{-s} \cdot \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) \cdot e^{-(t-s)} ds$

• For all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[N(t) = j] &= \mathbb{P}[W_1 + \dots + W_j \le t < W_1 + \dots + W_j + W_{j+1}] \\ &= \int_0^t f_{W_1 + \dots + W_j}(s) \mathbb{P}[W_{j+1} > t - s] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \left(e^{-s} \cdot \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) \cdot e^{-(t-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t s^{n-1} ds \end{split}$$

• For all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$. Why?

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[N(t) = j] &= \mathbb{P}[W_1 + \dots + W_j \le t < W_1 + \dots + W_j + W_{j+1}] \\ &= \int_0^t f_{W_1 + \dots + W_j}(s) \mathbb{P}[W_{j+1} > t - s] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \left(e^{-s} \cdot \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) \cdot e^{-(t-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t s^{n-1} ds \\ &= e^{-t} \cdot \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\operatorname{Pois}(t) = j]. \end{split}$$

For $t \geq 0$, let $N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}$.

• We saw that $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$.

For $t \geq 0$, let $N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}$.

- We saw that $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$.
- We also have for any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

• Why?

For $t \geq 0$, let $N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}$.

- We saw that $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$.
- We also have for any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

• Why? This follows from the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution.

For any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

• Suppose N(s) = k and the **arrival times** before s are $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le s$.

For any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

- Suppose N(s) = k and the **arrival times** before s are $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le s$.
- This just means that $W_1 = t_1, W_1 + W_2 = t_2, \dots, W_1 + \dots + W_k = t_k$.

For any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

- Suppose N(s) = k and the arrival times before s are $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le s$.
- This just means that $W_1 = t_1, W_1 + W_2 = t_2, \dots, W_1 + \dots + W_k = t_k$.
- Since N(s) = k, we must have $W_{k+1} \ge s t_k$.

For any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

- Suppose N(s) = k and the arrival times before s are $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le s$.
- This just means that $W_1 = t_1, W_1 + W_2 = t_2, \ldots, W_1 + \cdots + W_k = t_k$.
- Since N(s) = k, we must have $W_{k+1} \ge s t_k$.
- But by the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > s - t_k + t \mid W_{k+1} > s - t_k] = \mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > t] = e^{-t}.$$

For any $0 \le s < t$ that

N(t) - N(s) and $\{N(u)\}_{0 \le u \le s}$ are independent.

- Suppose N(s) = k and the arrival times before s are $0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le s$.
- This just means that $W_1 = t_1, W_1 + W_2 = t_2, \dots, W_1 + \dots + W_k = t_k$.
- Since N(s) = k, we must have $W_{k+1} \ge s t_k$.
- But by the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > s - t_k + t \mid W_{k+1} > s - t_k] = \mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > t] = e^{-t}.$$

 So, the waiting times for arrivals after s are iid Exp(1) random variables which are independent of {N(u)}_{0≤u≤s}.