

# STATS 217: Introduction to Stochastic Processes I

## Lecture 6

## Connection between exponential and Poisson distributions

- Let  $W_1, W_2, \dots$  be independent  $\text{Exp}(1)$  random variables. Let  $W_0 = 0$ .
- You should think of  $W_i$  as **waiting times** i.e.  $W_1$  is the time you wait before the first event happens,  $W_2$  is the time you wait between the first and second event, and so on.
- For  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \dots + W_i \leq t\}.$$

- So,  $N(t)$  denotes the number of events that happen by time  $t$ .
- In particular,  $N(0) = 0$ .
- It turns out that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t).$$

# Connection between exponential and Poisson distributions

- For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$ . Why?
- For any  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[N(t) = j] &= \mathbb{P}[W_1 + \cdots + W_j \leq t < W_1 + \cdots + W_j + W_{j+1}] \\ &= \int_0^t f_{W_1 + \cdots + W_j}(s) \mathbb{P}[W_{j+1} > t - s] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \left( e^{-s} \cdot \frac{s^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \right) \cdot e^{-(t-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-t}}{(j-1)!} \int_0^t s^{j-1} ds \\ &= e^{-t} \cdot \frac{t^j}{j!} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\text{Pois}(t) = j].\end{aligned}$$

# Connection between exponential and Poisson distributions

For  $t \geq 0$ , let  $N(t) = \max\{i : W_1 + \cdots + W_i \leq t\}$ .

- We saw that  $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(t)$ .
- We also have for any  $0 \leq s < t$  that

$N(t) - N(s)$  and  $\{N(u)\}_{0 \leq u \leq s}$  are independent.

- Why? This follows from the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution.

## Connection between exponential and Poisson distributions

For any  $0 \leq s < t$  that

$N(t) - N(s)$  and  $\{N(u)\}_{0 \leq u \leq s}$  are independent.

- Suppose  $N(s) = k$  and the **arrival times** before  $s$  are  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq s$ .
- This just means that  $W_1 = \alpha_1, W_1 + W_2 = \alpha_2, \dots, W_1 + \dots + W_k = \alpha_k$ .
- Since  $N(s) = k$ , we must have  $W_{k+1} \geq s - \alpha_k$ .
- But by the memorylessness property of the exponential distribution

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > s - \alpha_k + t \mid W_{k+1} > s - \alpha_k] = \mathbb{P}[W_{k+1} > t] = e^{-t}.$$

- So, the waiting times for arrivals after  $s$  are iid  $\text{Exp}(1)$  random variables which are independent of  $\{N(u)\}_{0 \leq u \leq s}$ .

# The Poisson Point Process

Let  $\lambda > 0$ . A collection of random variables  $\{N(s), s \geq 0\}$  is said to be a **Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$**  if

- Ⓐ1  $N(0) = 0$ ,
- Ⓐ2  $N(t + s) - N(s) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t)$ ,
- Ⓐ3  $N(t)$  has independent increments, i.e., for any  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ ,

$N(t_1) - N(t_0), N(t_2) - N(t_1), \dots, N(t_n) - N(t_{n-1})$  are independent.

We already saw above that taking  $W_0 = 0, W_1, W_2, \dots$  to be iid  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  and

$$N(s) := \max\{i : W_1 + \dots + W_i \leq s\}$$

gives a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ .

## Uniqueness of the construction

In fact, our construction of the PPP is unique.

- Let  $\{N(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$  be a Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ .
- Let  $\alpha_0 = 0$ .
- For  $i \geq 1$ , let  $\alpha_i := \inf\{t : N(t) = i\}$ .
- So,  $\alpha_i$  is the (random)  $i^{\text{th}}$  arrival time i.e. the time that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  event happens.
- Let  $W_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}$  denote the (random) waiting time for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  event.
- Then,  $W_1, W_2, \dots$ , are iid  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .

## Uniqueness of the construction

Here's the idea.

- Let's look at  $W_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i$ .
- By conditioning on  $\alpha_i$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{i+1} > t] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{i+1} - s > t \mid \alpha_i = s] f_{\alpha_i}(s) ds.$$

- Note that

$$\alpha_{i+1} - s > t \mid \alpha_i = s \iff N(s+t) - N(s) = 0 \mid N(s) = i.$$

- But by the independent increment property,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[N(s+t) - N(s) = 0 \mid N(s) = i] &= \mathbb{P}[N(s+t) - N(s) = 0] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\text{Pois}(\lambda t) = 0] \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$



## Uniqueness of the construction

- This shows that the waiting times  $W_1, W_2, \dots$  have  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  distribution,
- As for independence, note that we actually showed that for all  $s$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{i+1} > t \mid \alpha_i = s] = e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- Since by the independent increments property,

$$\mathbb{P}[W_{i+1} > t \mid \alpha_i = s] = \mathbb{P}[W_{i+1} > t \mid \alpha_i = s, \alpha_{i-1} = *, \dots, \alpha_1 = *],$$

this shows that  $W_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i$  is independent of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i$ , and hence of  $W_1, \dots, W_i$ .

## Infinitesimal description of the PPP

Here is another equivalent (although a bit informal) “infinitesimal” description of the Poisson point process with rate  $\lambda$ .

- $N(t)$  is the number of points in  $[0, t]$ .
- $\mathbb{P}[\text{there is a point in } [t, t + dt]] = \lambda \cdot dt$ .
- The number of points in disjoint intervals are independent.

Idea: the second and third conditions, together with the Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution show that indeed, for any  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

$$N(t) - N(s) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda(t - s)),$$

and the third condition guarantees independence of increments.

# The Inhomogeneous PPP

- In many situations, the condition

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{there is a point in } [t, t + dt]] = \lambda \cdot dt$$

is unrealistic.

- For instance, more phone calls start during the day than in the middle of the night.
- In such cases, one can consider the more general condition

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{there is a point in } [t, t + dt]] = \lambda(t) \cdot dt.$$

# The Inhomogeneous PPP

The inhomogeneous PPP may be described infinitesimally by

- $N(t)$  is the number of points in  $[0, t]$ .
- $\mathbb{P}[\text{there is a point in } [t, t + dt]] = \lambda(t)dt$ .
- The number of points in disjoint intervals are independent.

By the Poisson approximation of the Binomial distribution, for any  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

$$N(t) - N(s) \sim \text{Pois} \left( \int_s^t \lambda(u) du \right).$$

Why?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[N(t) - N(s) = k] &\approx \mathbb{P} \left[ \text{Ber} \frac{\lambda(s)}{n} + \text{Ber} \frac{\lambda(s + n^{-1})}{n} + \dots + \text{Ber} \frac{\lambda(t - n^{-1})}{n} = k \right] \\ &\approx \mathbb{P} \left[ \text{Pois} \left( \frac{\lambda(s)}{n} + \frac{\lambda(s + n^{-1})}{n} + \frac{\lambda(t - n^{-1})}{n} \right) = k \right] \\ &\approx \mathbb{P} \left[ \text{Pois} \left( \int_s^t \lambda(u) du \right) = k \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# Construction of inhomogeneous PPP

Let

$$\Lambda(s, t) := \int_s^t \lambda(u) du.$$

- $N(t) = N^{\text{hom}}(\Lambda(0, t))$  is an inhomogeneous PPP, where  $N^{\text{hom}}(\cdot)$  is a (homogeneous) PPP with rate 1. Why?

Clearly  $N(0) = 0$  and  $N(t)$  has independent increments. As for the distribution, note that

$$\begin{aligned} N(t + dt) - N(t) &= N^{\text{hom}}(\Lambda(0, t + dt)) - N^{\text{hom}}(\Lambda(0, t)) \\ &\approx N^{\text{hom}}(\Lambda(0, t) + \lambda(t)dt) - N^{\text{hom}}(\Lambda(0, t)) \\ &\approx \lambda(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$